

1 **WO**

2
3
4
5
6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**
8

9 Alan M Bartlett,

10 Petitioner,

11 v.

12 Paul Penzone, et al.,

13 Respondents.
14

No. CV-19-02467-PHX-SRB (ESW)


ORDER

15 The Court has reviewed Petitioner's "Renewed Motion to Compel Disclosure of
16 Relevant Discovery" (Doc. 21) and "Motion to Produce Relevant Evidence in Support of
17 Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus" (Doc. 22). As explained in the Court's August 8,
18 2019 Order (Doc. 20), a habeas petitioner "does not enjoy the presumptive entitlement
19 to discovery of a traditional civil litigant." *Rich v. Calderon*, 187 F.3d 1064, 1068 (9th
20 Cir. 1999); *Bracy v. Gramley*, 520 U.S. 899, 904 (1997) (stating that unlike other civil
21 litigants, a habeas corpus petitioner is not entitled to broad discovery). The Court does
22 not find good cause to allow Petitioner to conduct discovery. Accordingly,

23 **IT IS ORDERED** denying Petitioner's "Renewed Motion to Compel Disclosure
24 of Relevant Discovery" (Doc. 21).

25 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** denying Petitioner's "Motion to Produce Relevant
26 Evidence in Support of Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus" (Doc. 22).

27 Dated this 24th day of September, 2019.

28 

Eileen S. Willett
United States Magistrate Judge